Introduction

Daniel Arribas-Bel & Thomas de Graaff

September 5, 2014

Introduction

Why this workshop?

- In the social sciences few attention to what tools to use (and why they make sense)
- Increasing need for/in openness & transparancy
 - from journals, universities and governments
 - increase in cooperation (over wider distances)
 - access to your own files
 - make yourself more visible
- Why we want to give this workshop
 - intrinsic interest
 - our goal: pre-conferences workshops / courses

What we want (and don't want) with this workshop

- We are mostly interested in the principles behind a good open (scientific) workflow, aware of the facts that
 - there is no final, optimal, set of workflow tools
 - investment is very, very costly
- However, being a practical workshop we do
 - work with a specific set of tools (markdown, R, RStudio, git) which
 - enables us in this workshop to make a paper reproducable and open

How we do it

- Every session start with some introductionary slides
- Then some assignment is given
 - use with some tool
 - try to figure it out for yourself
- Usually directed to making this paper reproducable

Related work

- Inspired by Kieran Healey's (associate professor in sociology) work: Choosing your Workflow Applications
- Courses for reproducable research seems to pop up everywhere (but mostly in datascience courses):

- Datascience course: https://www.coursera.org/
- Tools for Reproducible Research http://kbroman.org/Tools4RR/

Workflow

Open?

- Workflow: Progression of steps (tasks, events, interactions) that comprise a work process, involve two or more persons, and create or add value to the organization's activities (BusinessDictionary)
- Open workflow: One that enhances transparency, collaboration and reproducibility

Research cycle



Why bother about a workflow or tools?

 Good scientific practice: document how you have achieved your results; this ensures

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

- Reproducibility
- Transparency
- Modularity
- Portability (across systems and users)
- Efficiency
- Self-sanity

Why should it be open?

- Open Science
- Reproducibility
- Transparency
- Modularity
- Portability (across systems and users)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

- Efficiency
- Visibility

When should I adopt an open reproducable workflow?

- The sooner the better
- But think twice about which one (switching is costly)
- Start one step at a time

A journey of a thousand miles begins with a single step Lao-tzu

Reproducability

In science consensus is irrelevant. What is relevant is reproducible results. The greatest scientists in history are great precisely because they broke with the consensus (Michael Crichton)

The data and code used to make a finding are available and they are sufficient for an independent researcher to recreate the finding (Peng, 2011)

- Literature programming (Donald E. Knuth, 1984):
 - weaving of code, documentation and output (articles, presentations, websites)

In the social sciences?

Complete reproducability often not feasible

- qualitative research
- propietary data (?)
- but you can come a long way, especially with
 - theoretical work
 - quantitative (e.g., statistical or simulation) work
- Goal should be more to make your research as reproducable as possible

Code, documentation and output

- 1. Synonyms
- 2. All based on text files
- 3. Encompasses almost anything
 - data itself
 - set of commands for data cleaning and statistical analysis
 - database with references
 - transcript of interviews
 - text for aticles, presentations or websites
- 4. Only output is displayed/interpreted differently (e.g., in a browser or pdf viewer)

Our goal (not being ambitious)

What we want is that with one single command we

- read in and transform our data
- run the analysis
- create output (tables and figures)
- combine output with text and references
- create presentation material (paper, slides, webpages) and

publish presentation material on an open repository

This all under a full fledged versioning control system

Tools for reproducability

Markup lanaguages

- Markdown
- LaTeX
- HTML
- Terminal tools (GNU make, diff, pandoc)
- Versioning system (Git & VCN)
- Reference manager (bibdesk/Mendeley)

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Tools for reproducability (cnt.)

Statistical software (pure command line driven): Python and R

- Environments
 - R and RStudio environment
 - Python and iPython notebook environment
 - Python and Sumatra
 - Emacs org mode

Tools for openness

- Repositories:
 - Github (host webpages as well)
 - Bitbucket
- R packages http://cran.r-project.org/
- iPython notebook viewer http://nbviewer.ipython.org/

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Examples

Reproducible Research with R and RStudio Book1

https: //github.com/christophergandrud/Rep-Res-Book

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Amsterdam paper example using ipython notebook:

http://darribas.org/buzz_adam

What we use in this workshop

- 1. R and RStudio (with Yihui Xie's knitr package)
- 2. Markdown language
- 3. Bibdesk/Mendeley
- 4. Git and Github
- 5. GNU make

Only implicitly we make use of LaTeX, BibTex, HTML and pandoc (all under the hood of RStudio)

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Schedule

Schedule Day 1 - Friday Sept. 5th

- [9am-12am] Introduction
 - Concepts behind open workflows/Overview of tools
 - Install session

[Lunch]

- [1pm-3pm] Version control and task automation
 - Terminal/git/make

[Break]

- [3:30pm-5:30pm] Typesetting
 - Markdown/LaTeX/bibtex/pandoc/RStudion

[Diner]

• Location and time: To be announced

Schedule Day 2 - Saturday Sept. 6th.

[9am-11am] Data analysis

R

[break]

- [11:30am-1pm] Publishing
 - Slides
 - Publishing on GitHub
 - Other publication channels

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

[Lunch]

In conclusion

Loose ends...

Questions?

This workshop is financially supported by FOSTER.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

